IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.1379 OF 1992

Sunil s/o Madhavrao Patil — Petitioner
Vs.
The Maharashtra State Co-operative Agril. & Rural Development Bank Ltd. and ors. — Respondents

Mr.Prashant Patil h/f. Mr.R.V.More for petitiioner.
Mr.Y.R.Naik for Resp.Nos.1 and 2 - absent.
Mr.P.M.Patil, AGP for Resp.No.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE & D.B.BHOSALE,JJ.

March 27, 2006.

P.C.

. While granting Rule, interim relief in terms of prayer clause (c) was ordered by this Court on 30/3/1992. The petitioner who was working as Engineering Supervisor in Grade VI-A with the Respondent No.1 sought a writ to regularise / confirm him in the said post. On account of the interim order dated 30/3/1992 the petitioner remained in service of the Respondent-Bank unless it was shown to us that his employment was discontinued by the Respondent No.1-Bank for some other reasons.

. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has not heard anything from the petitioner as to whether he came to be regularised in service during the pendency of this petition. We have noted

that in connected petitions consent orders were passed and thereby similarly placed Engineering Diploma holders were continued as Engineering Supervisors by the Respondent No.1-Bank.

. Thus it is obvious that the petitioner has lost interest in prosecuting the petition, may be on account of intervening events which may also include his regularisation in service and, therefore, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Rule discharged. Dismissal of this petition will not adversely affect the service conditions of the petitioner if he is presently in service with the Respondent No.1-Bank.

(D.B.BHOSALE,J.) (B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.)